

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DÖCKET NO.

 09/133,886
 08/13/98
 JOHNSON
 J
 10172-9013-V

IM22/1214 KANE, DALSIMER, SULLIVAN, KURUEZ, LEVY, EISELE AND RICHARD, LLP

711 THIRD AVE NEW YORK, NY 10017

EXAMINER AHMAD, N	
1772	11
DATE MAILED:	12714700

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/133,886 Applicant(s)

Johnson

Examiner

Nasser Ahmad

Group Art Unit 1772



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 26, 2000	
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 45	· ·
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	ithin the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 39, 41, and 72-74	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTG The drawing(s) filed on	Examiner. Sapproved Edisapproved. S.C. § 119(a)-(d). documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	_
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWIN	VG PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/133,886 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

Specification

1. The substitute specification filed September 26, 2000 has been entered into the file.

DETAILED ACTION

- 2. Claims 39, 41, 72 and 74 are rejected under 35USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Ausnit'962 for reasons of record in paper no. 7, paragrapgh-3, mailed June 12, 2000.
- 3. Claims 39, 41 and 72-74 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by ausnit'224 for reasons of record in paper no. 7, paragraph-4.
- 4. Claims 39, 41 and 72-74 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ausnit'962 or ausnit'224 for reasons of record in paper no.7, paragraph-6.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed September 26, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Claim 39 has been amended to recite that the lip has "a fold therein" and that Claim 72 has been amended to recite that the tape has loop with "a fold therein" which is not suggested or disclosed by the prior art. This is not deemed to be convincing because,

`\$

Application/Control Number: 09/133,886

Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

as shown in the drawings both the Ausnit references disclose the presence of fold as claimed.

However, in the absence of any clear language as to the location of the fold with respect to the

other elements of the claimed tape and the specific drawings related therto, it is not clear as where

is the fold located and what is considered "a fold".

Thus, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it remains the Examiner's position that the

instant invention is anticipated or rendered obvious over the prior art of record discussed above.

6. In addition, the following are new grounds of rejection in view of the amendments to the

claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 39, 41 and 72-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 39, line 11, the word "bend" is found to be indefinite for lack of antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 09/133,886

Art Unit: 1772

Claims 39 and 72, the phrase "a fold therein" is deemed to be confusing and indefinite. It is not

clear as to the location of the "fold" with respect to the lip and the other elements claimed. Also,

it is unclear as to what is being referred to by "therein" with respect to the "fold".

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is (703) 308-4424. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM. The examiner can

also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Ellis Robinson, can be reached on (703) 308-2364. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Page 4

N. Ahmad.

December 08, 2000.